

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Claims in this application are Claims 46-67, all newly added. These correspond, respectively, to Claims 24-45. Claim 46 has a proviso that both n and m cannot be 0, thereby avoiding the rejection over the references. The R² and R³ groups are defined to be the preferred moiety defined on p. 6, lines 22-26; no new matter has been added.

All Section 112 rejections have been obviated, according to the Final rejection dated May 18, 2006.

THE REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 102(b)

Claims 1-8 and 11 have been rejected as anticipated under 35 USC 102(b) over the various Nagamatsu and Yoneda references; the references teach compounds when n=m=0. The claims have been amended to avoid this subset of compounds. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the section 102 rejection in light of the new claims is respectfully requested.

It is requested that the amendment be entered and that the Examiner reconsider the rejection in view of the amendment and remarks and that the case be passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,
/Hesna J. Pfeiffer/
Hesna J. Pfeiffer, Reg. No. 22,640
Attorney for Applicants
(732) 524-2830

Johnson & Johnson
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003
Date: September 15, 2006